Architectural And Historic Survey

Identification

STREET ADDRESS: 1512 East Market Street
MAP & PARCEL: 56-40.4
CENSUS TRACT AND BLOCK:
PRESENT ZONING: R-2
ORIGINAL OWNER: James E. Timberlake
ORIGINAL USE: Residence
PRESENT USE: Residence
PRESENT OWNER: Mildred A. Branham
ADDRESS: 1512 East Market Street
Charlottesville, Virginia

HISTORIC NAME: Timberlake-Branham House
DATE / PERIOD: 1886
STYLE: Vernacular
HEIGHT (to cornice) OR STORIES: 2 storeys
DIMENSIONS AND LAND AREA: 180' x 920' (7.74 acres)
CONDITION: Good
SURVEYOR: Bibb
DATE OF SURVEY: Spring 1980
SOURCES: City/County Records
Sanborn Map Co. - 1920
Lucille Branham Watson, James L. Branham, Jr.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This two-storey, three-bay, single-pile, white weatherboarded house set on a low brick foundation is a somewhat elongated Virginia I-house. There is a two-storey semi-octagonal addition at the eastern end and a Z-shaped series of one-storey additions at the SW rear corner. It has a medium-pitched gable roof with an additional central gable on the facade. The roof is covered with standing-seam metal and has projecting eaves and verges and shaped rafter ends behind cornice fascia, with returns in the central gable only. There is an interior capped chimney on each side of the central hall. Windows are double-sash, 6-over-6 light, with plain trim, about the same height at both levels. The semi-octagonal addition has very tall 2-over-2 light windows, shorter at the second level, but all probably reaching to the floor. Small cornice brackets support the overhanging corners of the roof. The one-storey, one-bay central entrance porch has a low-pitched hip roof, chamfered square pillars with simple brackets, and a simple balustrade. The entrance door has two circular-headed lights over panels with moulded rails. It is flanked by narrow sidelights over panels and has a rectangular transom. The rear elevation is covered by a one-storey back porch with a concrete floor and a nearly flat roof supported by five chambered square posts with brackets. Access to the SW addition is through this back porch. Each of the two pavillions that make up the addition, set at right angles to the main house and to each other, has a small central chimney and appears to contain two rooms. The one nearest the main house matches it in most details and was probably the kitchen or servants' quarters. The other was probably added somewhat later. It has been stuccoed and its roof lacks the shaped rafter ends and cornice fascia. A shed-roofed porch along its eastern side has been enclosed.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

This house, with its broad lawn overlooking Woolen Mills Road, is still surrounded by 7 3/4 acres of farmland. James E. Timberlake, a foreman at the Charlottesville Woolen Mills, purchased 5½ acres in 1886 (ACDB 86-405) and built this house the same year. He bought another 5½ acres adjoining it in 1889 (ACDB 103-241). The house remained in the family for half a century, and there was a family cemetery in the front yard just NW of the house. The Timberlakes deeded it to their daughter Bessie M. Mann in 1916 (ACDB 162-244). She sold it in 1937 to Henry E. Fairburn (ACDB 237-210) who sold it to George W. Maupin the next year (ACDB 261-448). The present owner purchased it from him in 1945 (ACDB 246-104).

SIGNIFICANCE

This is a fine example of a vernacular Virginia I-house with Victorian details. It was one of several houses in this section built by employees of the Charlottesville Woolen Mills.

UTM: 17/722720/4211280

HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION - DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

SEP 7 1982

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES **EVALUATION / RETURN SHEET**

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

Timberlake-Branham House (Charlottesville MRA) VIRGINIA

Substantive Review

Working No. 9/7/82-2435
Fed. Reg. Date: _____
Date Due: 10/7/82 - 10/22/82
Action: ___ ACCEPT
✓ RETURN 10/21/82
___ REJECT
Federal Agency: _____

___ resubmission
___ nomination by person or local government
___ owner objection
___ appeal

Substantive Review: ___ sample ___ request ___ appeal ✓ NR decision

Reviewer's comments: Good example, likely eligible under C. however, the form doesnot explain what make this a characteristic and good example of its type.

Recom. / Criteria Return
Reviewer L McClelland
Discipline A. Hist
Date 10/21/82
___ see continuation sheet

Nomination returned for: ___ technical corrections cited below
X substantive reasons discussed below

1. Name

2. Location

3. Classification

Category	Ownership	Status	Present Use
	Public Acquisition	Accessible	

4. Owner of Property

5. Location of Legal Description

6. Representation in Existing Surveys

has this property been determined eligible? ___ yes ___ no

7. Description

Condition		Check one	Check one
___ excellent	___ deteriorated	___ unaltered	___ original site
___ good	___ ruins	___ altered	___ moved date _____
___ fair	___ unexposed		

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

___ summary paragraph
___ completeness
___ clarity
___ alterations/integrity
___ dates
___ boundary selection

8. Significance

Period | Areas of Significance—Check and justify below

Specific dates | Builder/Architect

Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)

- ✓ summary paragraph
- X completeness
- ____ clarity
- ____ applicable criteria
- X justification of areas checked
- ____ relating significance to the resource
- X context
- ____ relationship of integrity to significance
- ____ justification of exception
- ____ other

Please expand the statement of significance to discuss the local importance of this form of Virginia I-house and to identify the specific features that make this a good representative example.

9. Major Bibliographical References

10. Geographical Data

Acreage of nominated property _____

Quadrangle name _____

UMT References

Verbal boundary description and justification

11. Form Prepared By

12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification

The evaluated significance of this property within the state is:

___ national ___ state ___ local

State Historic Preservation Officer signature

title date

13. Other

- ____ Maps
- ____ Photographs
- ____ Other

Questions concerning this nomination may be directed to L McClelland / P. Andrus

Signed Linda McClelland Date 10/21/82 Phone: 202 272-3504

Comments for any item may be continued on an attached sheet

Architectural And Historic Survey

Identification

STREET ADDRESS: 1512 East Market Street
MAP & PARCEL: 56-40.4
CENSUS TRACT AND BLOCK:
PRESENT ZONING: R-2
ORIGINAL OWNER: James E. Timberlake
ORIGINAL USE: Residence
PRESENT USE: Residence
PRESENT OWNER: Mildred A. Branham
ADDRESS: 1512 East Market Street
Charlottesville, Virginia

HISTORIC NAME: Timberlake-Branham House (c m R A),
DATE / PERIOD: 1886
STYLE: Vernacular
HEIGHT (to cornice) OR STORIES: 2 storeys
DIMENSIONS AND LAND AREA: 180' x 920' (7.74 acres)
CONDITION: Good
SURVEYOR: Bibb
DATE OF SURVEY: Spring 1980
SOURCES: City/County Records
Sanborn Map Co. - 1920
Lucille Branham Watson, James L. Branham, Jr.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This two-storey, three-bay, single-pile, white weatherboarded house set on a low brick foundation is a somewhat elongated Virginia I-house. There is a two-storey semi-octagonal addition at the eastern end and a Z-shaped series of one-storey additions at the SW rear corner. It has a medium-pitched gable roof with an additional central gable on the facade. The roof is covered with standing-seam metal and has projecting eaves and verges and shaped rafter ends behind cornice fascia, with returns in the central gable only. There is an interior capped chimney on each side of the central hall. Windows are double-sash, 6-over-6 light, with plain trim, about the same height at both levels. The semi-octagonal addition has very tall 2-over-2 light windows, shorter at the second level, but all probably reaching to the floor. Small cornice brackets support the overhanging corners of the roof. The one-storey, one-bay central entrance porch has a low-pitched hip roof, chamfered square pillars with simple brackets, and a simple balustrade. The entrance door has two circular-headed lights over panels with moulded rails. It is flanked by narrow sidelights over panels and has a rectangular transom. The rear elevation is covered by a one-storey back porch with a concrete floor and a nearly flat roof supported by five chambered square posts with brackets. Access to the SW addition is through this back porch. Each of the two pavillions that make up the addition, set at right angles to the main house and to each other, has a small central chimney and appears to contain two rooms. The one nearest the main house matches it in most details and was probably the kitchen or servants' quarters. The other was probably added somewhat later. It has been stuccoed and its roof lacks the shaped rafter ends and cornice fascia. A shed-roofed porch along its eastern side has been enclosed.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

This house, with its broad lawn overlooking Woolen Mills Road, is still surrounded by 7 3/4 acres of farmland. James E. Timberlake, a foreman at the Charlottesville Woolen Mills, purchased 5½ acres in 1886 (ACDB 86-405) and built this house the same year. He bought another 5½ acres adjoining it in 1889 (ACDB 103-241). The house remained in the family for half a century, and there was a family cemetery in the front yard just NW of the house. The Timberlakes deeded it to their daughter Bessie M. Mann in 1916 (ACDB 162-244). She sold it in 1937 to Henry E. Fairburn (ACDB 237-210) who sold it to George W. Maupin the next year (ACDB 261-448). The present owner purchased it from him in 1945 (ACDB 246-104).

SIGNIFICANCE

This is a fine example of a vernacular Virginia I-house with Victorian details, and of special importance because it remains relatively unaltered. Of interest is the frontporch with its chamfered square pillers and simple brackets, as well as the sidelight treatment around the front door. The house was one of several homes once built by employees of Charlottesville Woolen Mills.

UTM: 17/722720/4211280

HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION - DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

SEP 7 1982

#20

NPS Form 10-900-a
(7-81)

OMB NO. 1024-0018
EXP. 12/31/84

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only
received
date entered

Continuation sheet | Item number | Page 3 of 9

Multiple Resource Area
Thematic Group

Name Charlottesville Multiple Resource Area
State Virginia

Nomination/Type of Review			Date/Signature
21. Carter-Gilmer House	Substantive Review	Keeper	Linda McClelland 10-21-82
		Attest	
22. Lewis Farm	Substantive Review	Keeper	Linda McClelland 10-21-82
		Attest	
Resub 23. Timberlake-Branham House	Substantive Review	Keeper	accept Lewis Durbin 1/10/1984
		Attest	
24. Woolen Mills Chapel	Substantive Review	Keeper	Linda McClelland 10-21-82
	Substantive Review	Attest	
25. Pireus Store		for Keeper	accept Patrick Andrus 8/10/83
		Attest	
26. Hawkins-Wondree House	Substantive Review	Keeper	Ret.
		Attest	
27. Hillcrest Apartments Cottage	Substantive Review	Keeper	Ret
		Attest	
28. King-Runkle House	Substantive Review	for Keeper	accept Patrick Andrus 8/10/83
		Attest	
29. Peyton House - Hillcrest Apartments	Substantive Review	Keeper	Ret.
		Attest	
X 30. McConnell-Neve House	Substantive Review	for Keeper	accept Patrick Andrus 8/10/83
		Attest	

27

WASO Form - 177
("R" June 1984)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Substantive Review

Timberlake-Branham House (Charlottesville MRA)
Charlottesville (Ind. City)
VA

Working No. 9-7-82
Fed. Reg. Date: 2-5-85
Date Due: 1/15/84
Action: ✓ ACCEPT 1/10/84
___ RETURN
___ REJECT
Federal Agency: ___

☒ resubmission
☐ nomination by person or local government
☐ owner objection
☐ appeal

Substantive Review: ☐ sample ☐ request ☐ appeal ☒ NR decision

Reviewer's comments: Statement of significance marginally improved to note important decorative features. Good example of I house in context of MRA.

Recom./Criteria ACCEPT - C
Reviewer DUBLE
Discipline HIST
Date 1/10/84
___ see continuation sheet

Nomination returned for: ___ technical corrections cited below
___ substantive reasons discussed below

1. Name

2. Location

3. Classification

Category	Ownership	Status	Present Use
	Public Acquisition	Accessible	

4. Owner of Property

5. Location of Legal Description

6. Representation in Existing Surveys

Has this property been determined eligible? ☐ yes ☐ no

7. Description

Condition		Check one	Check one
☐ excellent	☐ deteriorated	☐ unaltered	☐ original site
☐ good	☐ ruins	☐ altered	☐ moved date ___
☐ fair	☐ unexposed		

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

☐ summary paragraph
☐ completeness
☐ clarity
☐ alterations/integrity
☐ dates
☐ boundary selection

8. Significance

Period Areas of Significance—Check and justify below

Specific dates Builder/Architect

Statement of Significance *(in one paragraph)*

- ☐ summary paragraph
- ☐ completeness
- ☐ clarity
- ☐ applicable criteria
- ☐ justification of areas checked
- ☐ relating significance to the resource
- ☐ context
- ☐ relationship of integrity to significance
- ☐ justification of exception
- ☐ other

9. Major Bibliographical References

10. Geographical Data

Acreage of nominated property _____

Quadrangle name _____

UTM References

Verbal boundary description and justification

11. Form Prepared By

12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification

The evaluated significance of this property within the state is:

____ national ____ state ____ local

State Historic Preservation Officer signature

title date

13. Other

- ☐ Maps
- ☐ Photographs
- ☐ Other

Questions concerning this nomination may be directed to _____

Signed _____ Date _____ Phone: _____

Comments for any item may be continued on an attached sheet

Architectural And Historic Survey

Graphics

Please refer to the map in the Multiple Property Cover Sheet for this property

Multiple Property Cover Sheet Reference Number: 64000882