STREET ADDRESS: 1512 East Market Street

MAP & PARCEL: 56-40.4 CENSUS TRACT AND BLOCK:

PRESENT ZONING: R-2 ORIGINAL OWNER: James E. Timberlake

ORÍGINAL USE: Residence PRESENT USE: Residence

PRESENT OWNER: Mildred A. Branham
ADDRESS: 1512 East Market Street ADDRESS: Charlottesville, Virginia

HISTORIC NAME: Timberlake-Branham House C. M. R. X

DATE / PERIOD: 1886 STYLE: Vernacular

HEIGHT (to cornice) OR STORIES: 2 storeys

DIMENSIONS AND LAND AREA: 180' x 920' (7.74 acres)

CONDITION : Good SURVEYOR : Bibb

DATE OF SURVEY Spring 1980 SOURCES: City/County Records Sanborn Map Co. - 1920

Lucille Branham Watson, James L. Branham, Jr.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This two-storey, three-bay, single-pile, white weatherboarded house set on a low brick foundation is a somewhat elongated Virginia I-house. There is a two-storey semi-octagonal addition at the eastern end and a Z-shaped series of one-storey additions at the SW rear corner. It has a medium-pitched gable roof with an additional central gable on the facade. The roof is covered with standing-seam metal and has projecting eaves and verges and shaped rafter ends behind cornice fascia, with returns in the central gable only. There is an interior capped chimney on each side of the central hall. Windows are double-sash, 6-over-6 light, with plain trim, about the same height at both levels. The semi-octagonal addition has very tall 2-over-2 light windows, shorter at the second level, but all probably eaching to the floor. Small cornice brackets support the overhanging corners of the roof. The one-storey, one-bay intral entrance porch has a low-pitched hip roof, chamfered square pillars with simple brackets, and a simple palustrade. The entrance door has two circular-headed lights over panels with moulded rails. It is flanked by narrow sidelights over panels and has a rectangular transom. The rear elevation is covered by a one-storey back porch with a concrete floor and a nearly flat roof supported by five chambered square posts with brackets. Access to the SW addition is through this back porch. Each of the two pavillions that make up the addition, set at right angles to the main house and to each other, has a small central chimney and appears to contain two rooms. The one nearest the main house matches it in most details and was probably the kitchen or servants' quarters. The other was probably added somewhat later. It has been stuccoed and its roof lacks the shaped rafter ends and cornice fascia. A shed-roofed porch along its eastern side has been enclosed.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

This house, with its broad lawn overlooking Woolen Mills Road, is still surrounded by 7 3/4 acres of farmland. James E. Timberlake, a foreman at the Charlottesville Woolen Mills, purchased 5½ acres in 1886 (ACDB 86-405) and built this house the same year. He bought another 5½ acres adjoining it in 1889 (ACDB 103-241). The house remained in the family for half a century, and there was a family cemetery in the front yard just NW of the house. The Timberlakes deeded it to their daughter Bessie M. Mann in 1916 (ACDB 162-244). She sold it in 1937 to Henry E. Fairburn (ACDB 237-210) who sold it to George W. Maupin the next year (ACDB 261-448). The present owner purchased it from him in 1945 (ACDB 246-104).

This is a fine example of a vernacular Virginia I-house with Victorian details. It was one of several houses in this section built by employees of the Charlottesville Woolen Mills.

UTM: 17/722720/4211280

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

Timberlake-Branham House (Charlottesville MRA) VIRGINIA

Substantive Review

	-//
	Working No. 9/7/82-24
	Fed. Reg. Date;
ě.	Fed. Reg. Date:
	Action: ACCEPT
resubmission	Action:ACCEPT RETURN 10/21/
nomination by person or local government	REJECT
owner objection	Federal Agency:
appeal	rederat Agency:
Substantive Review:samplerequest	appeal NR decision
Reviewer's comments: Good example	likely elisible under () nest explain what lenstic and syrog Recom./Criteria Peterson Reviewer LM Clilland
however the Com does	nes leeplain whas
make this is charact	lesustice and sping
Proceed that a country of	Recom. / Criteria Return
example of 100 agre.	Reviewer Ziji Cililane
	Discipline Host
• •	Date 10/21/82
	see continuation sheet
lomination returned for: technical corrections c	
I. Name_	
2. Location	
3. Classification	
3. Classification	
3. Classification Category Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property	
3. Classification Cotogory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description	
3. Classification Cotogory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description	
3. Classification Cotogory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description	no
3. Classification Detegory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible? — yes	
3. Classification Cetagory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible? — yes 7. Description	no
3. Classification Description Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible? — yes 7. Description	no
3. Classification Detegory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys hear this property been determined eligible? yes 7. Description Check one Check one	no
3. Classification Detegory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys hear this property been determined eligible?	no
3. Classification Category Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?	no
3. Classification Cotagory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?yes 7. Description Cotagory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible has this property been determined eligible?yes 7. Description Cotagory Ownership Status Present Use has this property been determined eligible?yes 7. Description Cotagory Ownership Status Present Use has this property been determined eligible?yes fair deteriorated unaltered original site	no
3. Classification Detegory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?yes 7. Description leadition	NO
3. Classification Detegory Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?	no
3. Classification Detegry Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?	no
3. Classification Category Ownership Status Present Use Public Acquisition Accessible 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Description 6. Representation in Existing Surveys has this property been determined eligible?	

8. Significance	
Period Areas of Significance—Check and justify below	Please expand the statement
Specific dates Builder/Architect	a significación do discuss
Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)	the importance of thes form
summary paragraphcompleteness	Please expand the statement g xiquificance do discuss heringontance g thes form the importance and to g virginia I-house and to identify the specific feature identify the specific feature that make this a good meprexentative elean ple.
clarity	identify this a good
applicable criteria justification of areas checked	that make recample.
relating significance to the resource	, page , and
X context	
relationship of integrity to significance	
justification of exception other	
9. Major Bibliographical Reference	es
	•
Acresge of nominated property	
Verbal boundary description and justification	
11. Form Prepared By	
12. State Historic Preservation Of	fficer Certification
The evaluated significance of this property within the state is: national state local	
State Historic Preservation Officer signature	
titie date	
13. Other	
Maps Photographs	
Other	,
	on may be directed to IMC Pullard P. Andrux
Signed Linea In Clelland	Date 16/2//82 Phone: 202 272-3504

Identification

STREET ADDRESS: 1512 East Market Street

MAP & PARCEL: 56-40.4
CENSUS TRACT AND BLOCK:

PRESENT ZONING: R-2
ORIGINAL OWNER: James E. Timberlake

ORIGINAL USE: Residence
PRESENT USE: Residence

PRESENT OWNER: Mildred A. Branham

ADDRESS: 1512 East Market Street

Charlottesville, Virginia

HISTORIC NAME: Timberlake-Branham House (c m R A)

DATE / PERIOD: 1886 STYLE: Vernacular

HEIGHT (to cornice) OR STORIES: 2 storeys

DIMENSIONS AND LAND AREA: 180' x 920' (7.74 acres)

CONDITION : Good SURVEYOR : Bibb

DATE OF SURVEY Spring 1980 SOURCES: City/County Records Samborn Map Co. - 1920

Lucille Branham Watson, James L. Branham, Jr.

ARCHITECTURAL DESCRIPTION

This two-storey, three-bay, single-pile, white weatherboarded house set on a low brick foundation is a somewhat elongated Virginia I-house. There is a two-storey semi-octagonal addition at the eastern end and a Z-shaped series of one-storey additions at the SW rear corner. It has a medium-pitched gable roof with an additional central gable on the facade. The roof is covered with standing-seam metal and has projecting eaves and verges and shaped rafter ends behind cornice fascia, with returns in the central gable only. There is an interior capped chimney on each side of the central hall. Windows are double-sash, 6-over-6 light, with plain trim, about the same height at both levels. The semi-octagonal addition has very tall 2-over-2 light windows, shorter at the second level, but all probably eaching to the floor. Small cornice brackets support the overhanging corners of the roof. The one-storey, one-bay intral entrance porch has a low-pitched hip roof, chamfered square pillars with simple brackets, and a simple balustrade. The entrance door has two circular-headed lights over panels with moulded rails. It is flanked by narrow sidelights over panels and has a rectangular transom. The rear elevation is covered by a one-storey back porch with a concrete floor and a nearly flat roof supported by five chambered square posts with brackets. Access to the SW addition is through this back porch. Each of the two pavillions that make up the addition, set at right angles to the main house and to each other, has a small central chimney and appears to contain two rooms. The one nearest the main house matches it in most details and was probably the kitchen or servants' quarters. The other was probably added somewhat later. It has been stuccoed and its roof lacks the shaped rafter ends and cornice fascia. A shed-roofed porch along its eastern side has been enclosed.

HISTORICAL DESCRIPTION

This house, with its broad lawn overlooking Woolen Mills Road, is still surrounded by 7 3/4 acres of farmland. James E. Timberlake, a foreman at the Charlottesville Woolen Mills, purchased $5\frac{1}{2}$ acres in 1886 (ACDB 86-405) and built this house the same year. He bought another $5\frac{1}{2}$ acres adjoining it in 1889 (ACDB 103-241). The house remained in the family for half a century, and there was a family cemetery in the front yard just NW of the house. The Timberlakes deeded it to their daughter Bessie M. Mann in 1916 (ACDB 162-244). She sold it in 1937 to Henry E. Fairburn (ACDB 237-210) who sold it to George W. Maupin the next year (ACDB 261-448). The present owner purchased it from him in 1945 (ACDB 246-104).

SIGNIFICANCE

This is a fine example of a vernacular Virginia I-house with Victorian details, and of special importance because it remains relatively unaltered. Of interest is the frontporch with its chamfered square pillers and simple brackets, as well as the sidelight treatment around the front door. The house was one of several homes once built by employees of Charlottesville Woolen Mills.

UTM: 17/722720/4211280

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

For NPS use only received date entered

EXP. 12/31/84

UMB NU. 1024-0018

Continuation sheet

Item number

Page 3 4-9

Multiple Resource Area Thematic Group

	Nam	e Charlottesville Mul	tiple Resource Area		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	State	e Virginia			
	Nom	ination/Type of Review			Date/Signature
	21.	Carter-Gilmer House	Substantive Review	Keeper	Luda McClelland 10-31
				Attest	
**	22.	Lewis Farm	Substantive Review	Keeper	Buda McClelland a -2
				Attest	-V
wh.	23.	Timberlake-Branham Hous	Substantive Review	Keeper	our Clur Inhi 1/10/19
,				Attest	
	24.	Woolen Mills Chapel	Substantive Review	Keeper	Luda Mc Clelland 10-2
			Substantive Review	Attest	
	25.	Pireus Store	b	Keeper	accept, Patrick Andres 8/10/83
			M. A. P. Alexandra	Attest	
	26.	Hawkins-Wondree House	Substantive Review	Keeper	Rit
				Attest	
-	27.	Hillcrest Apartments Cottáge	Substantive Review	Keeper	Rod
			Marie Control State	Attest	
	28.	King-Runkle House	Substantive Review	Keeper	accept Patrick Andres 8/10/8
		•	Substanti	Attest	
	29.	Peyton House - Hillcres Apartments	Substantive Review t	Keeper	set.
				Attest	
	30.	McConnell-Neve House	Substantive Review for	Keeper	accept Patrick Andres 8/10/8
				Attest	

27

WASO Form - 177 ("R" June 1984)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES ubstantive Review EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Timberlake-L Karlottesvii	Boarban Ho	KE (A	hachthan	:// 50.00)
MADERIANE - K	IL IT	SE CO	CHAJUTTESU)	THE THEA)
KARIOTTESUII	le (LNd. C	rty)		9 7 62
1/4	*	1-		Working No.
V //				Fed. Reg. Date: 2 5-85
				Date Due:
resubmission				/. /
	on or local government			RETURN REJECT
owner objection	in or local government			Federal Agency:
☐ appeal				r oderai Agency.
Substantive Review:	sample	request	appeal	NR decision
Reviewer's comments:	Stolement o	of signi	ficence m	activally improved to
nole in ne	furt decen	ou troo	Features	• (
rupe impa	para cocci.		1 1	Recom./Criteria ACCEPT - C
Good excu	gle of I h	ouse u	- center +	Reviewer DUBLE Discipline HST
1 11 1 1	Q (Date 1/10/84
of MARA	•			see continuation sheet
				555 55114111441511 511650
Nomination returned for	:technical correcti substantive reaso			
1. Name				
2. Location	,	÷		
3. Classification			2	
Category	Ownership		Status	Present Use
Category	Public Acquisition		Accessible	
4. Owner of Property	9			
5. Location of Legal Des	cription			
6. Representation in Exi	sting Surveys			
Has this property been de	etermined eligible?	☐ yes ☐	□ no	
7. Description				i
Condition		Check	cone	Check one
excellent	deteriorated		naltered	original site
good	ruins		ltered	moved date
fair	unexposed			
Describe the present and	original (if known) physi	cal appearance		
summary paragraph				
completenessclarity				
☐ alterations/integrity				
dates				
I luates				

8. Significance			*		
Period Areas of	Significance—Check and justify be	elow	9.		
Specific dates	Builder/Architect				*
	cance (in one paragraph)				
summary paragr	aph				
completeness					
☐ clarity☐ applicable criter	ia				
iustification of a	reas checked				
relating significa					
context					
	ntegrity to significance				
☐ justification of e☐ other	exception				
_ other					
	7				*
9. Major Bibliograp	hical References		3.		
10. Geographical D	ata				
Acreage of nominat	ed property				
Quadrangle name_					
UTM References					
Verbal boundary de	scription and justification	44.			
11. Form Prepared	Ву				
12. State Historic F	Preservation Officer Certification				
	ficance of this property within the s	state is:			
notic	and state I	local			
natio	onalstateI	local			
State Historic Prese	rvation Officer signature				
title	date				
13. Other					
☐ Maps					
Photographs					
☐ Other					
Questions concerni	ng this nomination may be directed	l to			
		i			
				÷.	
Signed		Date		Phone:	

Architectural And Historic

Survey Graphics





Please refer to the map in the Multiple Property Cover Sheet for this property

Multiple Property Cover Sheet Reference Number:

64000882