

**FY2020-2021 CERTIFIED LOCAL GOVERNMENT GRANT
EVALUATION FORM**

LOCALITY:

PROJECT TITLE:

PROJECT TYPE:

Public Education ☐ HRC Training ☐ Design Review Guidelines ☐ Preservation Planning ☐

Survey ☐ National Register ☐ Pre-Development/HSR ☐ Development Project ☐

PROJECT NEED:

On a scale of 0-5, how does the project support local and/or VDHR goals, or explains a specific threat that the project will address?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application adequately explain why this project is a priority?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

PROJECT BENEFIT:

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application clearly describe the project impact to the community?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application explain how the project will promote preservation ethic, activities and programs?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application explain the long term benefits to the community?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application explain the lasting impacts the project will have on historic resources?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

PROJECT METHODOLOGY:

Does the project scope comply with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation?

Yes ☐ No ☐

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application adequately describe the activities associated with the proposed project?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application describe how the final product will be used to educate the public?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application describe how the project will further or assist the work of the Historic Resources Commission?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

PROJECT BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT:

Has the applicant demonstrated they have the required 30% match?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Is the match more than 30%?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Has the applicant demonstrated they have a funding source for the required match?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Has the applicant demonstrated the anticipated costs to complete the proposed scope of work are reasonable?

Yes ☐ No ☐

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application outline a manageable schedule?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

On a scale of 0-5, how well does the application address the locality's ability to manage the project?

☐ Not Acceptable (0) ☐ Poor (1) ☐ Marginal (2) ☐ Satisfactory (3) ☐ Good (4) ☐ Superior (5)

Comments:

