

**Preliminary Evaluation Form (PIF): Architecture
Draft Evaluation**

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name: Blick Plantation Draft Score: 35
File No.: 012-5471 Date: 2/15/24
City/County: Brunswick County CLG Y/N N Chair: AT
Incorporated Town (if applicable): _____

National Register Criteria

_____ Criterion A – Broad Patterns of History
_____ Criterion B – Important Person
X _____ Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship
_____ Criterion D – Archaeology/ Potential to yield information
_____ Criteria Considerations A-G (*specify*): _____

1. NRHP Property Type(s): Building
2. Period(s) of Significance: c. 1780 (possibly through c. 1820s interior center hall modification)
3. Area(s) of Significance: Architecture
4. Level of Significance: Local (L) State (S) National (N) (Enter letter): L

Assignment of Basic Points

1. Rarity of Property Type in Context 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 (Enter 0-6): 5
2. Integrity
a) Association 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
b) Design 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
c) Feeling 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
d) Location 0 1 2 (Enter 0-2): 2
e) Materials 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 4
f) Setting 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
g) Workmanship 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 4

3. Historical Associative Value

Criterion A – History 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): _____
Criterion B – Person 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): _____
Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): 7
*Criterion D – Archaeological Potential 0 1 2 3 (Enter 0-3): _____

Assignment of Extra Points

1. Unusually Good Representative of Type 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
2. Illustrates History of Ethnic & Cultural Minorities 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
3. Illustrates Distinctive Ways of Life Under-Represented on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): 1
4. Offers Exceptional Potential for Study & Interpretation 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
5. Visual Prominence as a Landmark 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
6. Regional Representation on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
7. (historic districts only) Illustrates concentration/linkage/continuity 0 1 (Enter 0-4): _____

Draft Total Score: 35

Comments:

**Preliminary Evaluation Form (PIF): Architecture
Draft Evaluation**

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name: Massie's Mill Historic District
File No.: 062-5276
City/County: Nelson County
Incorporated Town (if applicable): _____

Draft Score: 37
Date: _____
Chair: _____

CLG Y/N N

National Register Criteria

X Criterion A – Broad Patterns of History
____ Criterion B – Important Person
X Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship
____ Criterion D – Archaeology/ Potential to yield information
____ Criteria Considerations A-G (*specify*): _____

1. NRHP Property Type(s): District
2. Period(s) of Significance: c. 1886 – c. 1970
3. Area(s) of Significance: Social History; Commerce; Architecture/Craftsmanship
4. Level of Significance: Local (L) State (S) National (N) (Enter letter): L

Assignment of Basic Points

1. Rarity of Property Type in Context 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 (Enter 0-6): 5

2. Integrity

a) Association	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
b) Design	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
c) Feeling	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
d) Location	0	1	2			(Enter 0-2):	<u>2</u>
e) Materials	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
f) Setting	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
g) Workmanship	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>

3. Historical Associative Value

Criterion A – History	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	<u>5</u>
Criterion B – Person	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	_____
Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	<u>5</u>
*Criterion D – Archaeological Potential	0	1	2	3						(Enter 0-3):	<u>2</u>

Assignment of Extra Points

1. Unusually Good Representative of Type	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
2. Illustrates History of Ethnic & Cultural Minorities	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
3. Illustrates Distinctive Ways of Life Under-Represented on Register	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
4. Offers Exceptional Potential for Study & Interpretation	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
5. Visual Prominence as a Landmark	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
6. Regional Representation on Register	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
7. (<u>historic districts only</u>) Illustrates concentration/linkage/continuity	0	1	(Enter 0-4):	_____

Draft Total Score: 37

Comments:

The case for eligibility is made mainly through existing surveys dating to 1992, current photographs and Google Street View. All of the extant resources are relatively well preserved. The site of Massie's Mill is also included as a potential historic archaeological site. The close proximity to the Tye River also raises potential for prehistoric archaeological finds. Currently there are no individually listed lodges in Nelson County. Rated by MP (WRPO) 1/8/2024.

**Preliminary Evaluation Form (PIF): Architecture
Draft Evaluation**

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name: Old Salem Methodist Church Draft Score: 35
File No.: 062-5275 Date: _____
City/County: Nelson County CLG Y/N N Chair: _____
Incorporated Town (if applicable): _____

National Register Criteria

X Criterion A – Broad Patterns of History
____ Criterion B – Important Person
X Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship
____ Criterion D – Archaeology/ Potential to yield information
____ Criteria Considerations A-G (*specify*): _____

1. NRHP Property Type(s): building
2. Period(s) of Significance: C: 1897–1901 (construction); A: c. 1950–1974
3. Area(s) of Significance: Social History; Architecture/Craftsmanship
4. Level of Significance: Local (L) State (S) National (N) (Enter letter): L

Assignment of Basic Points

1. Rarity of Property Type in Context 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 (Enter 0-6): 4

2. Integrity

a) Association	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
b) Design	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
c) Feeling	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
d) Location	0	1	2			(Enter 0-2):	<u>2</u>
e) Materials	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
f) Setting	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>
g) Workmanship	0	1	2	3	4	(Enter 0-4):	<u>3</u>

3. Historical Associative Value

Criterion A – History	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	<u>4</u>
Criterion B – Person	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	_____
Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	<u>5</u>
*Criterion D – Archaeological Potential	0	1	2	3						(Enter 0-3):	<u>1</u>

Assignment of Extra Points

1. Unusually Good Representative of Type	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	<u>1</u>
2. Illustrates History of Ethnic & Cultural Minorities	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
3. Illustrates Distinctive Ways of Life Under-Represented on Register	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
4. Offers Exceptional Potential for Study & Interpretation	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
5. Visual Prominence as a Landmark	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	_____
6. Regional Representation on Register	0	1	(Enter 0-1):	<u>1</u>
7. (<u>historic districts only</u>) Illustrates concentration/linkage/continuity	0	1	(Enter 0-4):	_____

Draft Total Score: 35

Comments:

An unusually well-preserved, small, frame Gothic Revival Methodist church in rural area, the building became the Rockfish 108 Masonic Lodge around 1950. It continued to be held by the Masons until 2023 and was recently purchased by the applicants. Currently there are no individually listed churches in Nelson County. One extra point assigned for archaeology in consideration of the first church establishment on the site c. 1850 and a school building site with extant foundation. Rated by MP (WRPO) 1/2/2024.

**Preliminary Evaluation Form (PIF): Architecture
Draft Evaluation**

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name: Cedarbrook Elementary School Draft Score: 31
 File No.: 108-6195 Date: _____
 City/County: City of Danville CLG Y/N Y Chair: _____
 Incorporated Town (if applicable): _____

National Register Criteria

X Criterion A – Broad Patterns of History
 _____ Criterion B – Important Person
X Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship
 _____ Criterion D – Archaeology/ Potential to yield information
 _____ Criteria Considerations A-G (*specify*): _____

1. NRHP Property Type(s): building
 2. Period(s) of Significance: 1962 (construction) – 1970 (integration)
 3. Area(s) of Significance: Community Planning and Development; Education; Architecture
 4. Level of Significance: Local (L) State (S) National (N) (Enter letter): L

Assignment of Basic Points

1. Rarity of Property Type in Context 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 (Enter 0-6): 4
 2. Integrity
 a) Association 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
 b) Design 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
 c) Feeling 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 2
 d) Location 0 1 2 (Enter 0-2): 2
 e) Materials 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
 f) Setting 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
 g) Workmanship 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3

3. Historical Associative Value

Criterion A – History 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): 4
 Criterion B – Person 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): _____
 Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): 4
 *Criterion D – Archaeological Potential 0 1 2 3 (Enter 0-3): _____

Assignment of Extra Points

1. Unusually Good Representative of Type 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 2. Illustrates History of Ethnic & Cultural Minorities 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 3. Illustrates Distinctive Ways of Life Under-Represented on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 4. Offers Exceptional Potential for Study & Interpretation 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 5. Visual Prominence as a Landmark 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 6. Regional Representation on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 7. (historic districts only) Illustrates concentration/linkage/continuity 0 1 (Enter 0-4): _____

Draft Total Score: 31

Comments:

A not-so-unusual or photogenic Modernist school built for white kids, it nevertheless has significance at the local level. Rated by MP (WRPO) 2/5/2024.

**Preliminary Evaluation Form (PIF): Architecture
Draft Evaluation**

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name: Dunnottar Draft Score: 33
File No.: 033-0423 Date: 2/13/24
City/County: Fauquier County CLG Y/N N Chair: _____
Incorporated Town (if applicable): _____

National Register Criteria

x Criterion A – Broad Patterns of History
_____ Criterion B – Important Person
x Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship
_____ Criterion D – Archaeology/ Potential to yield information
_____ Criteria Considerations A-G (*specify*): _____

1. NRHP Property Type(s): Building
2. Period(s) of Significance: 1799-1974
3. Area(s) of Significance: Architecture, Agriculture
4. Level of Significance: Local (L) State (S) National (N) (Enter letter): L

Assignment of Basic Points

1. Rarity of Property Type in Context 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 (Enter 0-6): 3
2. Integrity
a) Association 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
b) Design 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
c) Feeling 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
d) Location 0 1 2 (Enter 0-2): 2
e) Materials 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
f) Setting 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 4
g) Workmanship 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3

3. Historical Associative Value

Criterion A – History 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): 5
Criterion B – Person 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): _____
Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): 4
*Criterion D – Archaeological Potential 0 1 2 3 (Enter 0-3): _____

Assignment of Extra Points

1. Unusually Good Representative of Type 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
2. Illustrates History of Ethnic & Cultural Minorities 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
3. Illustrates Distinctive Ways of Life Under-Represented on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
4. Offers Exceptional Potential for Study & Interpretation 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
5. Visual Prominence as a Landmark 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
6. Regional Representation on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
7. (historic districts only) Illustrates concentration/linkage/continuity 0 1 (Enter 0-4): _____

Draft Total Score: 33

Comments: (preparer may add or subtract points here based on the preparer's evaluation [up to -2 points thru +2 points])

**Preliminary Evaluation Form (PIF): Architecture
Draft Evaluation**

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name: Oak Level Primitive Baptist Church
File No.: 044-6467
City/County: Henry County
Incorporated Town (if applicable): _____

Draft Score: _____
Date: _____
Chair: _____

CLG Y/N N

National Register Criteria

X Criterion A – Broad Patterns of History
_____ Criterion B – Important Person
_____ Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship
_____ Criterion D – Archaeology/ Potential to yield information
A* & D Criteria Considerations A-G (*specify*): *no longer a religious property

1. NRHP Property Type(s): buildings, site
2. Period(s) of Significance: c. 1933
3. Area(s) of Significance: Social History; Religion, Ethic History: Black
4. Level of Significance: Local (L) State (S) National (N) (Enter letter): L

Assignment of Basic Points

1. Rarity of Property Type in Context 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 (Enter 0-6): 4
2. Integrity
a) Association 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
b) Design 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 2
c) Feeling 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
d) Location 0 1 2 (Enter 0-2): 2
e) Materials 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 2
f) Setting 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
g) Workmanship 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3

3. Historical Associative Value

Criterion A – History 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): 6
Criterion B – Person 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): _____
Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): _____
*Criterion D – Archaeological Potential 0 1 2 3 (Enter 0-3): _____

Assignment of Extra Points

1. Unusually Good Representative of Type 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
2. Illustrates History of Ethnic & Cultural Minorities 0 1 (Enter 0-1): 1
3. Illustrates Distinctive Ways of Life Under-Represented on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
4. Offers Exceptional Potential for Study & Interpretation 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
5. Visual Prominence as a Landmark 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
6. Regional Representation on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): 1
7. (historic districts only) Illustrates concentration/linkage/continuity 0 1 (Enter 0-4): _____

Draft Total Score: 30

Comments:

A rare African American Primitive Baptist church with somewhat compromised physical integrity and no recorded history of its own, it nonetheless is worthy of identification and evaluation as an a once-important religious and social center of a very small rural community. Rated by MP (WRPO) 1/2/2024.

**Preliminary Evaluation Form (PIF): Architecture
Draft Evaluation**

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name: Liberty Draft Score: 32
 File No.: 048-0011 Date: 1/29/24
 City/County: King George County CLG Y/N _____ Chair: _____
 Incorporated Town (if applicable): _____

National Register Criteria

_____ Criterion A – Broad Patterns of History
 _____ Criterion B – Important Person
x _____ Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship
 _____ Criterion D – Archaeology/ Potential to yield information
 _____ Criteria Considerations A-G (*specify*): _____

1. NRHP Property Type(s): Building
 2. Period(s) of Significance: 1825-1930
 3. Area(s) of Significance: Architecture
 4. Level of Significance: Local (L) State (S) National (N) (Enter letter): L

Assignment of Basic Points

1. Rarity of Property Type in Context 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 (Enter 0-6): 5
 2. Integrity
 a) Association 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
 b) Design 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
 c) Feeling 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 4
 d) Location 0 1 2 (Enter 0-2): 2
 e) Materials 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3
 f) Setting 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 4
 g) Workmanship 0 1 2 3 4 (Enter 0-4): 3

3. Historical Associative Value

Criterion A – History 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): _____
 Criterion B – Person 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): _____
 Criterion C – Architecture/Craftsmanship 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 (Enter 0-8): 5
 *Criterion D – Archaeological Potential 0 1 2 3 (Enter 0-3): 2

Assignment of Extra Points

1. Unusually Good Representative of Type 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 2. Illustrates History of Ethnic & Cultural Minorities 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 3. Illustrates Distinctive Ways of Life Under-Represented on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 4. Offers Exceptional Potential for Study & Interpretation 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 5. Visual Prominence as a Landmark 0 1 (Enter 0-1): _____
 6. Regional Representation on Register 0 1 (Enter 0-1): 1
 7. (historic districts only) Illustrates concentration/linkage/continuity 0 1 (Enter 0-4): _____

Draft Total Score: 32

Comments: (preparer may add or subtract points here based on the preparer's evaluation [up to -2 points thru +2 points])

Possibly eligible under Crit. A, Agriculture and/or Military for the stable and associated buildings constructed by the US Calvary as a remount station/depot.