Virginia Department of Historic Resources

File No City/Co	.: ounty:	Blick Plantation 012-5471 Brunswick County vn (if applicable):				CLG	i Y/	N _	<u>N</u>					Draft Score: Date:/15// Chair:AT	24
Nationa	al Registe	er Criteria													
		Criterion A – Broad Patte	erns	of H	Histo	ory									
		Criterion B – Important F	Perso	on											
	Х	Criterion C – Architectur	e/Cı	afts	man	shi	р								
		Criterion D – Archaeolog	gy/ F	oter	ntial	to	yiel	d in	form	atio	n				
		Criteria Considerations A	-G	(spe	cify)):									
1 NRH	P Propert	y Type(s): Building													
		gnificance: c. 1780 (pos	sibly	/ thr	·0110	h c	18	20s	inter	ior c	ente	r ha	11 m	odification)	
		nificance: <u>Architecture</u>			ous		10.	205	inter	101 0		1 110		ourifeation)	
		ficance: Local (L) State	(S) I	Nati	onal	(N) (E	Inter	lette	er):		L			
	0		()				/ \								
		asic Points													
	• •	erty Type in Context	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	(Ent	ter 0	-6):	5		
2. Integ															
,	Associa	tion			2								3		
	Design				2				(Ent	er 0	-4):		3		
	Feeling				2	3	4						3		
	Location			1									2		
e)	Materia	S			2								4		
f)	Setting	1.			2								3		
g)	Workma	anship	0	1	2	3	4		(Ent	er 0	-4):		4	<u> </u>	
3. Histo	orical Asso	ociative Value													
Cri	terion A -	- History			0	1	2	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	
Cri	terion B -	- Person			0	1	2	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8		
Cri	terion C -	- Architecture/Craftsmansl	hip		0	1	2	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	7
*Ci	riterion D	- Archaeological Potentia	al		0	1	2	2 3	;					(Enter 0-3):	
Assign	<u>ment of E</u>	<u>xtra Points</u>												-	
		od Representative of Type								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
		ory of Ethnic & Cultural N								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
		inctive Ways of Life Unde		-			on l	Kegi	ster	0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	-	onal Potential for Study &	: Int	erpr	etati	on				0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
		ence as a Landmark								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
-	-	esentation on Register	4		1:1		1	4	:	0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
7. (<u>histo</u>	oric distric	ets only) Illustrates concen	itrati	ion/	iinka	ige/	con	unu	ity	0	1			(Enter 0-4):	
													Dra	ft Total Score:	35

Comments:

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name:	Massie's Mill Historic E	Distri	ct				_						Draft Score:	37
File No.:	062-5276 Nelson County						_						Date:	
							_		CL	G Y	/N	N	Chair:	
Incorporated	d Town (if applicable):	_												
National Regist	er Criteria													
	Criterion A – Broad Patt	erns	of F	Histo	rv									
	Criterion B – Important 1				-)									
	Criterion C – Architectu			man	shi	D								
	Criterion D – Archaeolo						d in	form	atio	n				
	Criteria Considerations A				-	-								
1 NRHP Proper	ty Type(s): Distric	t												
-	ignificance: <u>c. 1886 – c.</u>													
	nificance: Social Histo							eture	/Cr	aften	nan	shin		
	ficance: Local (L) State													
4. Level of Sign	incance. Local (L) State	(5)1	vau	onai	(1))(Ľ	me	icuc	1)					
Assignment of l	Basic Points													
1. Rarity of Prop	erty Type in Context	0	1	2	3	4	5	6 ((Ent	er 0	-6):		5	
2. Integrity														
a) Associa	tion			2				(Ente	er 0-	4):			3	
b) Design		0	1	2	3	4							3	
c) Feeling		0	1	2	3	4							3	
d) Locatio	n			2									2	
e) Materia	ls	0	1	2	3	4							3	
f) Setting				2				(Ente	er 0-	4):			3	
g) Workm	anship	0	1	2	3	4		(Ente	er 0-	4):			3	
3. Historical Ass	ociative Value													
Criterion A	– History			0	1	2	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	5
Criterion B	– Person			0	1	2	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	
Criterion C	- Architecture/Craftsmans	hip											(Enter 0-8):	
*Criterion I) – Archaeological Potenti	al		0	1	2	2 3	3					(Enter 0-3):	2
Assignment of I	Extra Points													
1. Unusually Go	od Representative of Type	;							0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
2. Illustrates His	tory of Ethnic & Cultural	Mine	oriti	es					0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
3. Illustrates Dis	tinctive Ways of Life Und	er-R	epre	esent	ed	on F	Reg	ster	0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
4. Offers Except	ional Potential for Study &	k Int	erpr	etati	on				0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
5. Visual Promir	nence as a Landmark								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
6. Regional Rep	resentation on Register								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	cts only) Illustrates concer	ntrati	on/	linka	ige/	'con	tinu	ity	0	1			(Enter 0-4):	
												Dr	aft Total Score: _	37

Comments:

The case for eligibility is made mainly through existing surveys dating to 1992, current photographs and Google Street View. All of the extant resources are relatively well preserved. The site of Massie's Mill is also included as a potential historic archaeological site. The close proximity to the Tye River also raises potential for prehistoric archaeological finds. Currently there are no individually listed lodges in Nelson County. Rated by MP (WRPO) 1/8/2024.

2020 Current PIF Rating Sheet (last revision 2020)

M:\SurveyAndRegister\REGISTER\Architecture_Evaluation_Minutes\RATING SHEETS

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property N	Name: Old Salem Methodist	Church	1										Draft Score:	35
File No.:	062-5275 nty: <u>Nelson County</u>						_		CI	CN		N	Date:	
							_		CL	GY	/IN	<u> </u>	Chair:	
Incor	porated Town (if applicable):													
National	Register Criteria													
	Criterion A – Broad F	atterns	of H	Histo	ory									
	Criterion B – Importa	nt Perso	n											
<u> </u>	<u>K</u> Criterion C – Archite	cture/Cr	afts	man	shi	р								
	Criterion D – Archae						d in	form	atio	n				
	Criteria Consideration	ns A-G (spe	cify):									
1 NDUD	Property Type(s): buil	ding												
	s) of Significance: C: 1897–						– N: C.	1950)_19	974				
	of Significance: Social H													
	of Significance: Local (L) St													
	i Significance. Docar (D) St			onu	. (1 ,) (1		10000	<u></u>					
	ent of Basic Points													
•	of Property Type in Context	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	(Ent	ter 0	-6):		4	
2. Integrit	•	_		_	_				_				_	
,	Association			2									3	
	Design			2									3	
,	Feeling			2				(Ente	er 0	-4):			3	
,	Location			2				(Ent	er 0	-2):			2	
,	Materials			2									3	
f) S	Setting	0	1	2	3	4							3	
g) V	Workmanship	0	1	2	3	4		(Ente	er 0	-4):			3	
3. Historia	cal Associative Value													
	rion A – History			0	1	1 2	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	4
	rion B – Person												(Enter 0-8):	
	rion C – Architecture/Craftsm	anship											(Enter 0-8):	
	erion D – Archaeological Pote	-						3					(Enter 0-3):	
CIII				0				-					(2	
Assignme	ent of Extra Points													
1. Unusua	Illy Good Representative of T	ype							0	1			(Enter 0-1):	1
2. Illustrat	tes History of Ethnic & Cultur	al Minc	riti	es					0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
3. Illustrat	tes Distinctive Ways of Life U	Inder-R	epre	esent	ted	on I	Reg	ister	0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
4. Offers l	Exceptional Potential for Stud	y & Inte	erpr	etati	on				0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
5. Visual	Prominence as a Landmark		-						0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	al Representation on Register								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
-	<u>c districts only</u>) Illustrates cor	centrati	on/	linka	age	/con	tinu	iity	0	1			(Enter 0-4):	
												Dm	aft Total Score:	25

Comments:

An unusually well-preserved, small, frame Gothic Revival Methodist church in rural area, the building became the Rockfish 108 Masonic Lodge around 1950. It continued to be held by the Masons until 2023 and was recently purchased by the applicants. Currently there are no individually listed churches in Nelson County. One extra point assigned for archaeology in consideration of the first church establishment on the site c. 1850 and a school building site with extant foundation. Rated by MP (WRPO) 1/2/2024.

2020 Current PIF Rating Sheet (last revision 2020)

M:\SurveyAndRegister\REGISTER\Architecture_Evaluation_Minutes\RATING SHEETS

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

	Cedarbrook Elementary												Draft Score:	31
File No.:	108-6195 City of Danville						_			.			Date	
							-		CL	.G Y	/N	Y	Chair	r:
Incorporated	Town (if applicable):													
National Registe	er Criteria													
	Criterion A – Broad Patt	erns	of I	Histo	ory									
	Criterion B – Important				2									
	Criterion C – Architectur			man	shi	р								
	Criterion D – Archaeolog						d in	form	atio	n				
	Criteria Considerations A					-								
1 NDUD Propert	y Type(a); buildin													
-	y Type(s): buildin gnificance: 1962 (const	-					-	ation	`					
	nificance: Community						-					Arc	hitecture	
-	ficance: Local (L) State			-			-							
4. Level of Sight	ficance. Local (L) State	(3)1	Nati	onal	(11)(E	mei	lette	1). <u>-</u>		L			
Assignment of E	Basic Points													
	erty Type in Context	0	1	2	3	4	5	6 ((Ent	er 0	-6):		4	
2. Integrity														
a) Associa	tion	0	1	2	3	4		(Ente	er 0-	-4):			3	
b) Design		0	1	2	3	4		(Ente	er 0-	-4):			3	
c) Feeling		0	1	2	3	4		(Ente	er 0-	-4):			2	
d) Location	n	0	1	2									2	
e) Materia	ls	0	1	2	3	4		(Ente	er 0-	-4):			3	
f) Setting		0	1	2	3	4		(Ente	er 0-	-4):			3	
g) Workm	anship	0	1	2	3	4		(Ente	er 0-	-4):			3	
3. Historical Ass	ociative Value													
Criterion A				0	1	1 2	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	4
Criterion B -	-												(Enter 0-8):	
	- Architecture/Craftsmans	hip						3 4						
	– Archaeological Potenti	-				2			-	-		-	(Enter 0-3):	
	C												· · · ·	
Assignment of H	Extra Points													
•	od Representative of Type								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	ory of Ethnic & Cultural								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
3. Illustrates Dist	inctive Ways of Life Und	er-R	epre	esen	ted	on F	Regi	ister	0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
-	onal Potential for Study &	z Inte	erpr	etati	on				0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	ence as a Landmark								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
6. Regional Repr	esentation on Register								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
7. (historic distri	cts only) Illustrates concer	ntrati	ion/	linka	age/	/con	tinu	ity	0	1			(Enter 0-4):	
												Dra	aft Total Score:	31

Comments:

A not-so-unusual or photogenic Modernist school built for white kids, it nevertheless has significance at the local level. Rated by MP (WRPO) 2/5/2024.

2020 Current PIF Rating Sheet (last revision 2020)

M:\SurveyAndRegister\REGISTER\Architecture_Evaluation_Minutes\RATING SHEETS

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property	y Name:	Dunnottar												D	raft	Score:	33	
File No		033-0423														2/13/24		
City/Co	ounty:	Fauquier Coun	ty	_		C					N			С	hair	:	_	
Inc	corporated	l Town (if applie	cable):									-						
Nation	al Registe	er Criteria																
		Criterion A – I	Broad Patter	ns	of F	Iisto	rv											
		Criterion B – I					5											
		Criterion C – A				man	shi	p										
		Criterion D – A							d i	nfo	rma	tio	n					
		Criteria Consid															_	
1. NRH	P Proper	y Type(s):	Building	[
2. Perio	od(s) of Si	gnificance:	1799-19	74														
		nificance:																
		ficance: Local																
Assigni	ment of F	Basic Points																
		erty Type in Co	ntext	0	1	2	3	4	5	5	6 (Ent	er 0	-6):		3	_	
2. Integ	• •																	
a)	Associa	tion		0	1	2	3	4		(]	Ente	er 0-	4):			3	_	
b)	Design			0	1	2	3	4					4):			3		
c)	Feeling			0	1	2	3	4		(I	Ente	er 0-	4):			3		
d)	Locatio	n		0	1	2				(]	Ente	er 0-	-2):			2	_	
e)	Materia	ls		0	1	2	3	4		(]	Ente	er 0-	4):			3	_	
f)	Setting			0	1	2	3	4		(]	Ente	er 0-	4):			4		
g)	Workm	anship		0	1	2	3	4		(1	Ente	er 0-	4):			3	_	
3. Histo	orical Ass	ociative Value																
Cri	terion A	- History				0	1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8	3):	5
Cri	terion B	- Person				0	1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
Cri	terion C	- Architecture/C	raftsmanshi	ip		0	1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8			4
*Ci	riterion D	– Archaeologic	al Potential			0	1		2	3						(Enter 0	-3): _	
		Extra Points od Representativ	o of Turno									0	1			(Entor ()	1),	
	•	ory of Ethnic &	• •	inc	riti	20						0	1					
		inctive Ways of					ed .	on 1	R۹	oict	er	0	1					
		onal Potential fo			-				ive.	gist		0	1					
	-	ence as a Landn	•	iiitt	лрг	ciail						0	1			,	· · ·	
		esentation on R										0				(Enter 0	-1)·	
0	-		U		or /1	lin1.		000		:4	.,		1					
7. (<u>nisto</u>	one distri	<u>ets only</u>) Illustra	les concenti	rati	on/l	unka	ige/	cor	ıtır	iuit	у	0	1			(Enter 0	-4): _	

Draft Total Score: 33

Comments: (preparer may add or subtract points here based on the preparer's evaluation [up to -2 points thru +2 points])

2020 Current PIF Rating Sheet (last revision 2020)

M:\SurveyAndRegister\REGISTER\Architecture_Evaluation_Minutes\RATING SHEETS

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

	y Name: Oak Level Primitive B	aptist	Chu	irch	_								Draft Score	: <u> </u>
File No	.: 044-6467	-					_						Date	:
	ounty: <u>Henry County</u>						_		CI	LG Y	//N	N	Chai	r:
Inc	corporated Town (if applicable):													
Nation	al Desister Criteria													
	a <u>l Register Criteria</u> <u>X</u> Criterion A – Broad Pa	ttorne	of I	liste	art.									
	<u>Criterion B – Important</u>			nsu	Лу									
	Criterion C – Architect			mor	hi									
	Criterion D – Archaeol					-	d in	form	otio	n				
	<u>* & D</u> Criteria Considerations					•						mort		
A	* & D Criteria Considerations	A-U	(spe	cijy):	<u>no 1</u>	ong	era	reng	lous	pro	open	<u>.y</u>	
1. NRH	P Property Type(s): buildings	. site												
	od(s) of Significance: c. 1933								_					
	(s) of Significance: Social Hist				ı. Et	hic	Hist	tory:	Bla	ck				
	l of Significance: Local (L) Stat													
II Deve		0 (0) 1	iuu	onu	. (1 () (1		1011	<u>.</u>		-			
Assign	<u>ment of Basic Points</u>													
1. Rarit	y of Property Type in Context	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	(En	ter 0	-6):	:	4	
2. Integ	rity													
a)	Association	0	1	2	3	4		(Ent	ter 0	-4):			3	
b)	Design	0	1	2	3	4		(Ent	ter 0	-4):	_		2	
c)	Feeling	0	1	2	3	4		(Ent	ter 0	-4):	_		3	
d)	Location	0	1	2				(En	ter 0	-2):	_		2	
e)	Materials	0	1	2	3	4		(Ent	ter 0	-4):	_		2	
f)	Setting	0	1	2	3	4		(Ent					3	
g)	Workmanship	0	1	2	3	4		(Ent	ter 0	-4):			3	
3 Histo	orical Associative Value													
	terion A – History			C) 1	1 2	, .	3 4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	6
	terion B – Person											8		
	terion C – Architecture/Craftsmar	nshin										8		
	riterion D – Archaeological Poten	-				1 2			5	0	'	0	(Enter 0-3):	
C	Henon D – Archaeological Poten	uai		C	, 1	1 2		,					(Enter 0-3):	
Assign	ment of Extra Points													
	sually Good Representative of Typ	be							0	1			(Enter 0-1): _	
	rates History of Ethnic & Cultura		oriti	es					0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	rates Distinctive Ways of Life Un				ted	on I	Regi	ister	0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	rs Exceptional Potential for Study		-				0		0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	al Prominence as a Landmark		-r'						0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	onal Representation on Register								0	1			(Enter 0-1):	
	<u>oric districts only</u>) Illustrates conc	entrati	ion/	link	age	/con	tinu	itv	0	1			(Enter 0-4):	
	$\frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac$	-iniuti			~50/	0.011			0	*			(1).	
												Dr	aft Total Score:	30

Comments:

A rare African American Primitive Baptist church with somewhat compromised physical integrity and no recorded history of its own, it nonetheless is worthy of identification and evaluation as an a once-important religious and social center of a very small rural community. Rated by MP (WRPO) 1/2/2024.

2020 Current PIF Rating Sheet (last revision 2020)

M:\SurveyAndRegister\REGISTER\Architecture_Evaluation_Minutes\RATING SHEETS

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Property Name:	Liberty										D	raft S	Score:	32	
File No.:	048-0011												1/29/24		
	King George County										С	hair:			
Incorporate	d Town (if applicable): _								_						
National Regist	er Criteria														
	Criterion A – Broad Pa	tterns	of I	Histo	ory										
	_Criterion B – Importan				-										
	_Criterion C – Architect			sman	ishi	р									
	_Criterion D – Archaeol	ogy/ F	ote	ntial	to	yiel	d in	form	atio	n					
	_Criteria Considerations	A-G	(spe	ecify)):									-	
1. NRHP Proper	ty Type(s): Build	ling													
-	ignificance: 1825	-													
	nificance: Arch														
	ificance: Local (L) Stat														
Assignment of I		0	1	2	2	1	5	E	(E	tor O	6).		5		
2. Integrity	perty Type in Context	0	1	Z	3	4	3	0	(Eni	ler U	-0):		5		
a) Associa	ation	Ο	1	2	3	1		(Ent	er ()	<u>_</u> 4)·			3		
b) Design				2									3		
c) Feeling				2									4		
d) Locatio				2											
e) Materia				2				(Ent	or 0	-2). 4):	_		2 3		
f) Setting	115			2									4		
g) Workm	anchin			2									3		
g) Workin	ansmp	0	1	2	5	4		(Liit		-+).	_		5		
3. Historical Ass	ociative Value														
Criterion A	– History			0)	1 2	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	(Enter 0-8):	
Criterion B	– Person												(Enter 0-8		
Criterion C	- Architecture/Craftsman	nship						3 4							
*Criterion I	0 – Archaeological Poten	tial		0) [1 2	2 3	3					(Enter 0-	3):	2
Assignment of 1									_				_		
-	od Representative of Typ												(Enter 0-		
	tory of Ethnic & Cultura								0	1			(Enter 0-		
	tinctive Ways of Life Ur		-			on I	Reg	ister	0	1			(Enter 0-		
-	ional Potential for Study	& Int	erpı	etati	ion				0	1			(Enter 0-		
	nence as a Landmark								0	1			(Enter 0-	1):	
	resentation on Register								0	1			(Enter 0-	1):	1
7. (historic distri	cts only) Illustrates conc	entrati	ion/	linka	age	/con	tinu	iity	0	1			(Enter 0-	4):	
													a m		
												Dra	ft Total Sc	ore:	32

Comments: (preparer may add or subtract points here based on the preparer's evaluation [up to -2 points thru +2 points])

Possibly eligible under Crit. A, Agriculture and/or Military for the stable and associated buildings constructed by the US Calvary as a remount station/depot.

2020 Current PIF Rating Sheet (last revision 2020)

M:\SurveyAndRegister\REGISTER\Architecture_Evaluation_Minutes\RATING SHEETS